## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)	Case No.: 1:06 CR 125-3
	)	
Plaintiff	)	JUDGE SOLOMON OLIVER, JR.
	)	
v.	)	
	)	ORDER ACCEPTING PLEA
RAFAEL VALDEZ-GOMEZ,	)	AGREEMENT, JUDGMENT AND
	)	REFERRAL TO U. S. PROBATION
Defendant	)	<u>OFFICE</u>

This case is before the Court on a Report and Recommendation filed by United States

Magistrate Judge Nancy A. Vecchiarelli, regarding the change of plea hearing of Rafael Valdez
Gomez, which was referred to the Magistrate Judge with the consent of the parties.

On May 9, 2006, the government filed a thirty-six count superseding indictment, charging Defendant Rafael Valdez-Gomez, with Conspiracy to Commit Mail Fraud and Document Fraud in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 371; Structuring Money Transactions and Aiding and Abetting in violation of Title 31, United States Code, Sections 5324(a)(3) and (d)(2) and Title 18, United States Code, Section 2; and Money Laundering and Aiding and Abetting in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1956(a)(1)(B)(i) and 2. On January 18, 2007, Defendant Valdez-Gomez was arraigned and entered a plea of guilty

Case: 1:06-cr-00125-SO Doc #: 551 Filed: 04/13/07 2 of 2. PageID #: 2511

before Magistrate Judge Nancy A. Vecchiarelli to counts 3, 16, 20 and 26, of the superseding

indictment. Magistrate Judge Vecchiarelli issued a Report and Recommendation ("R&R")

concerning whether the plea should be accepted and a finding of guilty on January 18, 2007.

Neither party submitted objections to the Magistrate Judge's R&R in the ten days after it

was issued.

On de novo review of the record, the Magistrate Judge's R&R is adopted. Defendant

Valdez-Gomez is found to be competent to enter a plea and to understand his constitutional

rights. He is aware of the charges and of the consequences of entering a plea. There is an

adequate factual basis for the plea. The Court finds the plea was entered knowingly,

intelligently, and voluntarily. The plea agreement is approved.

Therefore, Defendant Valdez-Gomez is adjudged guilty of Counts 3, 16, 20 and 26 of the

superseding indictment, in violation of Title 18 United States Code, Section 371; Title 31United

States Code, Section 5324(a)(3) and (d)(2) and 18 United States Code, Section 2; and Title 18

United States Code, Sections 1956(a)(1)(B)(i) and 2.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

/s/SOLOMON OLIVER, JR.
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE